

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STATEMENT

Introduction

Raiden Resources Limited (**Company**) has established a corporate governance framework, the key features of which are set out in this statement. In establishing its corporate governance framework, the Company refers to the recommended corporate governance practices for ASX listed entities set out in the ASX Corporate Governance Council Principles and Recommendations (**4th Edition**) (**Principles and Recommendations**). During the period 1 July 2021 to 30 June 2022 (**Reporting Period**), the Company's governance framework was consistent with reference to the 4th edition of the Principles and Recommendations.

This Corporate Governance Statement discloses the extent to which the Company followed the recommendations set out in the Principles and Recommendations (**Recommendations**) for the Reporting Period. The Recommendations are not mandatory, however, the Recommendations not followed have been identified and reasons have been provided for not following them along with what (if any) alternative governance practices the Company adopted in lieu of the recommendation.

The information in the statement is current at 30 September 2022 and was approved by a resolution of the Board on the 30 September 2022.

Corporate governance policies and procedures

The Company has adopted the following suite of corporate governance policies and procedures (together, the **Corporate Governance Policies**):

- Statement of Values
- Board Charter
- Corporate Code of Conduct
- Audit and Risk Committee Charter
- Remuneration Committee Charter
- Nomination Committee Charter
- Performance evaluation Policy
- Continuous Disclosure Policy
- Risk Management Policy
- Trading Policy
- Diversity Policy
- Shareholder Communications Strategy
- Whistleblower Protection Policy
- Anti-Bribery and Anti-Corruption Policy
- Annexure A – Definition of independence
- Annexure B - Procedure for the selection, appointment and rotation of external auditor

The Company's Corporate Governance Policies are available on the Company's website at <https://raidenresources.com.au/corporate-governance/>

Recommendations	Comply	Explanation
Principle 1: Lay solid foundations for management and oversight		
<p>Recommendation 1.1 A listed entity should have and disclose a charter which:</p> <p>(a) sets out the respective roles and responsibilities of the board, the chair and management; and</p> <p>(b) includes a description of those matters expressly reserved to the board and those delegated to management.</p>	Yes	The Company has established the respective roles and responsibilities of its Board and management, and those matters expressly reserved to the Board and those delegated to management, and has documented this in its Board Charter, which is disclosed on the Company's website.
<p>Recommendation 1.2 A listed entity should:</p> <p>(a) undertake appropriate checks before appointing a person, or putting forward to security holders a candidate for election, as a director; and</p> <p>(b) provide security holders with all material information relevant to a decision on whether or not to elect or re-elect a director.</p>	Yes	<p>(a) The Board undertakes appropriate checks before appointing a person, these checks were undertaken for all Directors during the Reporting Period or putting forward to shareholders a candidate for election as a director and provides shareholders with all material information in its possession relevant to a decision on whether to elect or re-elect a director. The checks that are undertaken are set out in the Nomination Committee Charter.</p> <p>(b) The Company provided all material information to Shareholders in relation to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the re-election of Director Martin Pawlitschek at the annual general meeting on 29 November 2021.
<p>Recommendation 1.3 A listed entity should have a written agreement with each director and senior executive setting out the terms of their appointment.</p>	Yes	<p>The Nomination Committee Charter outlines the requirement to have a written agreement with each Director and senior executive of the Company which sets out the terms of that Director's or senior executive's appointment.</p> <p>The Company has a written agreement with each of its Directors, including its Executive Directors.</p> <p>The material terms of any employment, service or consultancy agreement the Company, or any of its child entities, has entered into with its Chief Executive Officer (or equivalent), any of its directors, and any other person or entity who is related party of the Chief Executive Officer or any of its directors has been disclosed in accordance with ASX Listing Rule 3.16.4 (taking into consideration the exclusions from disclosure outlined in that rule).</p>
<p>Recommendation 1.4 The company secretary of a listed entity should be accountable directly to the board, through the chair, on all matters to do with the proper functioning of the board.</p>	Yes	The Company Secretary was during the reporting period accountable directly to the Board, through the Chair, on all matters to do with the proper functioning of the Board.
<p>Recommendation 1.5 A listed entity should:</p> <p>(a) have and disclose a diversity policy;</p> <p>(b) through its board or committee of the board set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity in the composition of the board, senior executives and workforce generally; and</p> <p>(c) disclose in relation to each reporting period:</p> <p>(i) the measurable objectives set for that period to achieve gender diversity;</p> <p>(ii) the entity's progress towards achieving those objectives; and</p> <p>(iii) either:</p>	No	<p>The Company has a Diversity Policy, which is disclosed on the Company's website. The Diversity Policy does not include requirements for the Board to set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity and to assess annually both the objectives and the Company's progress in achieving them. The Board has not set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity.</p> <p>Given the Company's stage of development and the number of employees, the Board considers it is not practical to set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity at this time.</p> <p>The respective proportions of men and women on the Board, in senior executive positions and across the whole organisations are set out in the following table. Senior executives for these purposes mean those persons who report directly to the chief executive officer (or equivalent):</p>

Recommendations	Comply	Explanation																
<p>(A) the respective proportions of men and women on the board, in senior executive positions and across the whole workforce (including how the entity has defined “senior executive” for these purposes); or</p> <p>(B) if the entity is a “relevant employer” under the Workplace Gender Equality Act, the entity’s most recent “Gender Equality Indicators”, as defined in and published under the Workplace Gender Equality Act.</p>		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Male</th> <th>Female</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Board of Raiden</td> <td>4</td> <td>-</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Senior executives</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> <td>6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Male	Female	Total	Board of Raiden	4	-	4	Senior executives	1	1	2	Total	5	1	6
	Male	Female	Total															
Board of Raiden	4	-	4															
Senior executives	1	1	2															
Total	5	1	6															
<p>Recommendation 1.6 A listed entity should:</p> <p>(a) have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the performance of the board, its committees and individual directors; and</p> <p>(b) disclose in relation to each reporting period, whether a performance evaluation was undertaken in the reporting period in accordance with that process during or in respect of that period.</p>	Yes	<p>(a) The Company’s Nomination Committee (or, in its absence, the Board) is responsible for evaluating the performance of the Board, its committees and individual Directors on an annual basis. It may do so with the aid of an independent advisor. The process for this is set out in the Company’s Corporate Governance Plan which is available on the Company’s website.</p> <p>(b) The Company’s Corporate Governance Plan requires the Board to disclose whether or not performance evaluations were conducted during the relevant reporting period. The Company intends to complete performance evaluations in respect of the Board, its committees (if any) and individual Directors for each financial year in accordance with the above process. Performance evaluation of the Board & individual Directors was conducted during the Reporting Period.</p>																
<p>Recommendation 1.7 A listed entity should:</p> <p>(a) have and disclose a process for evaluating the performance of its senior executives at least once every reporting period; and</p> <p>(b) disclose for each reporting period whether a performance evaluation has been undertaken in accordance with that process during or in respect of that period.</p>	Yes	<p>The Company had two senior executive, Mr Dusko Ljubojevic and Mr Warrick Clent. An executive review was completed for Mr Ljubojevic during the Reporting Period. Mr Clent commenced in November 2021 and has not yet completed full 12 months of employment with the Company.</p>																

Recommendations	Comply	Explanation
Principle 2: Structure the board to add value		
<p>Recommendation 2.1 The board of a listed entity should:</p> <p>(a) have a nomination committee which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and (ii) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose: (iii) the charter of the committee; (iv) the members of the committee; and (v) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or <p>(b) if it does not have a nomination committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs to address board succession issues and to ensure that the board has the appropriate balance of skills, experience, independence and knowledge of the entity to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectively.</p>	Yes	<p>(a) The Company did not have a separate Nomination Committee. The Company's Nomination Committee Charter provides for the creation of a Nomination Committee (if it is considered it will benefit the Company), with at least three members, a majority of whom are independent Directors, and which must be chaired by an independent Director.</p> <p>(b) The Company does not have a Nomination Committee as the Board considers the Company will not currently benefit from its establishment. In accordance with the Company's Board Charter, the Board carries out the duties that would ordinarily be carried out by the Nomination Committee under the Nomination Committee Charter, including the following processes to address succession issues and to ensure the Board has the appropriate balance of skills, experience, independence and knowledge of the entity to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectively:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) devoting time at least annually to discuss Board succession matters and updating the Company's Board skills matrix; and (ii) all Board members being involved in the Company's nomination process to the maximum extent permitted under the Corporations Act and ASX Listing Rules <p>Details of director attendance at meetings of the full Board, during the reporting period, are set out in a table in the Directors' Report in the Company's 2022 Annual Report.</p>
<p>Recommendation 2.2 A listed entity should have and disclose a board skill matrix setting out the mix of skills and diversity that the board currently has or is looking to achieve in its membership.</p>	Yes	<p>Under the Nomination Committee Charter (in the Company's Corporate Governance Plan), the Nomination Committee (or, in its absence, the Board) is required to prepare a Board skill matrix setting out the mix of skills and diversity that the Board currently has (or is looking to achieve) and to review this at least annually against the Company's Board skills matrix to ensure the appropriate mix of skills and expertise is present to facilitate successful strategic direction.</p> <p>The Board has identified the appropriate mix of skills and diversity required of its members to operate efficiently and effectively. The Company's Board Skills Matrix can be found at Appendix 1.</p>

Recommendations	Comply	Explanation										
<p>Recommendation 2.3 A listed entity should disclose:</p> <p>(a) the names of the directors considered by the board to be independent directors;</p> <p>(b) if a director has an interest, position, association or relationship of the type described in Box 2.3 of the ASX Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendation (4th Edition), but the board is of the opinion that it does not compromise the independence of the director, the nature of the interest, position, association or relationship in question and an explanation of why the board is of that opinion; and</p> <p>(c) the length of service of each director</p>	Yes	<p>The board considered the independence of Directors with regards to factors set out in Box 2.3 of the ASX Principle and Recommendations. During the Reporting Period the Company had one independent director Mr Michael Davy.</p> <p>Names of Directors during the Reporting Period and their length of service up to the date of this statement, or their resignation date is noted below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Name</th> <th>Length of Service</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mr Michael Davy Non-Exec Chairman</td> <td>5 years, 3 months¹</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mr Dusko Ljubojevic Managing Director</td> <td>4 years, 6 months²</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mr Martin Pawlitschek Non-Exec Director</td> <td>4 years, 6 months³</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mr Dale Ginn Non-Exec Director</td> <td>1 year, 4 months⁴</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Name	Length of Service	Mr Michael Davy Non-Exec Chairman	5 years, 3 months ¹	Mr Dusko Ljubojevic Managing Director	4 years, 6 months ²	Mr Martin Pawlitschek Non-Exec Director	4 years, 6 months ³	Mr Dale Ginn Non-Exec Director	1 year, 4 months ⁴
Name	Length of Service											
Mr Michael Davy Non-Exec Chairman	5 years, 3 months ¹											
Mr Dusko Ljubojevic Managing Director	4 years, 6 months ²											
Mr Martin Pawlitschek Non-Exec Director	4 years, 6 months ³											
Mr Dale Ginn Non-Exec Director	1 year, 4 months ⁴											
<p>Recommendation 2.4 A majority of the board of a listed entity should be independent directors.</p>	No	<p>The Company's Board Charter requires that, where practical, the majority of the Board should be independent.</p> <p>The Board recognises the importance of the appropriate balance between independent and non-independent representation on the Board. However, the Board considered that a Board weighted towards industry and technical experience is appropriate at the stage of the Company's development.</p> <p>As the Company's operations progress, the Board will review the composition of the Board, including independence of its Directors.</p>										
<p>Recommendation 2.5 The chair of the board of a listed entity should be an independent director and, in particular, should not be the same person as the CEO of the entity.</p>	Yes	<p>The Board Charter provides that, where practical, the Chair of the Board should be an independent Director and should not be the CEO/Managing Director.</p> <p>The Non-executive Chair of the Board is Mr Michael Davy. Mr Davy is considered to be an independent Director and he is not the CEO/Managing Director.</p>										
<p>Recommendation 2.6 A listed entity should have a program for inducting new directors and providing appropriate professional development opportunities for continuing directors to develop and maintain the skills and knowledge needed to perform their role as a director effectively.</p>	Yes	<p>In accordance with the Company's Board Charter, the Nominations Committee (or, in its absence, the Board) is responsible for the approval and review of induction and continuing professional development programs and procedures for Directors to ensure that they can effectively discharge their responsibilities. The Company Secretary is responsible for facilitating inductions and professional development.</p>										

¹ At the date of this statement

² At the date of this statement

³ At the date of this statement

⁴ At the date of this statement

Recommendations	Comply	Explanation
Principle 3: Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly		
<p>Recommendation 3.1 (a) A listed entity should articulate and disclose its values.</p>	Yes	<p>Raiden’s mission is to drive shareholder value by making world-class discoveries, through ethical and safe exploration.</p> <p>Core Values are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Integrity - Respect - Care - Responsibility - Invested - Trust <p>The Company’s Statement of Values are disclosed with the published Corporate Governance Plan on the Company’s website.</p>
<p>Recommendation 3.2 A listed entity should: (a) have a code of conduct for its directors, senior executives and employees; and (b) ensure that the board or a committee of the Board is informed of any material breaches of that code</p>	Yes	<p>The Company’s Corporate Code of Conduct applies to the Company’s Directors, senior executives and employees.</p> <p>The Company’s Corporate Code of Conduct (which forms part of the Company’s Corporate Governance Plan) is available on the Company’s website. During the reporting period the Company adopted an Anti-Bribery and Corruption policy and Whistle-blower policy, which are available on the Company’s website.</p>
<p>Recommendation 3.3 A listed entity should: (a) have and disclose a whistleblower policy; and (b) ensure that the board or a committee of the Board is informed of any material incidents reported under that policy.</p>	Yes	<p>The Company’s Whistleblower Policy (which forms part of the Company’s Corporate Governance Plan) is available on the Company’s website.</p>
<p>Recommendation 3.4 A listed entity should: (a) have and disclose an anti-bribery and corruption policy; and (b) ensure that the board or a committee of the Board is informed of any material breaches reported under that policy.</p>	Yes	<p>The Company’s Anti-bribery and Corruption Policy (which forms part of the Company’s Corporate Governance Plan) is available on the Company’s website.</p>

Recommendations	Comply	Explanation
Principle 4: Safeguard integrity in financial reporting		
<p>Recommendation 4.1 The board of a listed entity should:</p> <p>(a) have an audit committee which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) has at least three members, all of whom are non-executive directors and a majority of whom are independent directors; and (ii) is chaired by an independent director, who is not the chair of the board, <p>and disclose:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (iii) the charter of the committee; (iv) the relevant qualifications and experience of the members of the committee; and (v) in relation to each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or <p>(b) if it does not have an audit committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its financial reporting, including the processes for the appointment and removal of the external auditor and the rotation of the audit engagement partner.</p>	Yes	<p>The Company did not have an Audit and Risk Committee.</p> <p>Given the current size and composition of the Board, the Board believes that there would be no efficiencies gained by establishing a separate Audit and Risk Committee. Accordingly, the Board performs the role of the Audit and Risk Committee.</p> <p>Although the Board does not have a separate Audit and Risk Committee, it had adopted an Audit and Risk Committee Charter, which is disclosed on the Company’s website.</p> <p>During the Reporting Period, items that are usually required to be discussed by an Audit and Risk Committee are marked as separate agenda items at Board meetings when required, and when the Board convened to address matters as the Audit and Risk Committee it carried out the functions which are delegated to it in the Company’s Audit and Risk Committee Charter. The Board deals with any conflicts of interest that occur when it performs the functions of an Audit and Risk, Committee by ensuring that any Director with a conflicting interest is not party to the relevant discussions.</p> <p>During the Reporting Period, the Board was responsible for the initial appointment of the external auditor and the appointment of a new external auditor when any vacancy arises. Candidates for the position of external auditor must demonstrate complete independence from the Company through the engagement period. The Board may otherwise select an external auditor based on criteria relevant to the Company’s business and circumstances. The performance of the external auditor was reviewed on an annual basis by the Board.</p> <p>The Company has an established Procedure for the Selection, Appointment and Rotation of its External Auditor, which is an annexure to the Corporate Governance Plan.</p> <p>Details of director attendance at meetings of the full Board, during the reporting period, are set out in a table in the Directors’ Report within the Company 2022 Annual Report.</p>
<p>Recommendation 4.2 The board of a listed entity should, before it approves the entity’s financial statements for a financial period, receive from its CEO and CFO a declaration that, in their opinion, the financial records of the entity have been properly maintained and that the financial statements comply with the appropriate accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity and that the opinion has been formed on the basis of a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively.</p>	Yes	<p>The Board received a signed declaration from the CFO and CEO in accordance with Recommendation 4.2 and Section 295A of the Corporations Act 2001 prior to the approval of the Company’s financial statements.</p>
<p>Recommendation 4.3 A listed entity should disclose its process to verify the integrity of any periodic corporate report it releases to the market that is not audited or reviewed by an external auditor.</p>	Yes	<p>The Company is committed to providing clear, concise and accurate reports so investors can make informed decisions. Prior to lodgement with ASX quarterly cash flow reports are subject to robust preparation and review. A declaration is then provided by the CFO and CEO to the Board noting compliance with section 286 of the Corporations Act 2001, the appropriate accounting standards and with listing Rule 19.11A.</p>

Recommendations	Comply	Explanation
Principle 5: Make timely and balanced disclosure		
Recommendation 5.1 A listed entity should have and disclose a written policy for complying with its continuous disclosure obligations under the Listing Rules 3.1.	Yes	The Company has adopted a Continuous Disclosure Policy which sets out the processes the Company follows to comply with its continuous disclosure obligations under the ASX Listing Rules and other relevant legislation. The Company's Continuous Disclosure Policy (which forms part of the Company's Corporate Governance Plan) is available on the Company's website.
Recommendation 5.2 A listed entity should ensure that its board receives copies of all material market announcements promptly after they have been made.	Yes	The Board receives copies of all material market announcements after they have been released on the ASX.
Recommendation 5.3 A listed entity that gives a new and substantive investor or analyst presentation should release a copy of the presentation materials on the ASX Market Announcements Platform ahead of the presentation.	Yes	The Company announces all investor and analyst presentations on the ASX Market Announcements Platform ahead of the presentation date.
Principle 6: Respect the rights of security holders		
Recommendation 6.1 A listed entity should provide information about itself and its governance to investors via its website.	Yes	Information about the Company and its governance practices are available on its website: https://raidenresources.com.au/corporate-governance/
Recommendation 6.2 A listed entity should design and implement an investor relations program to facilitate effective two-way communication with investors.	Yes	The Company has adopted a Shareholder Communications Strategy which aims to promote and facilitate effective two-way communication with investors. The Strategy outlines a range of ways in which information is communicated to shareholders and is available on the Company's website as part of the Company's Corporate Governance Plan.
Recommendation 6.3 A listed entity should disclose the policies and processes it has in place to facilitate and encourage participation at meetings of security holders.	Yes	Shareholders are encouraged to participate at all general meetings and AGMs of the Company. Upon the despatch of any notice of meeting to Shareholders, the Notice material states that all Shareholders are encouraged to participate at the meeting.
Recommendation 6.4 A listed entity should ensure that all substantive resolutions at a meeting of security holders are decided by a poll rather than by a show of hands.	Yes	The Company ensures that all resolutions posed during shareholder meetings are decided upon by poll.
Recommendation 6.5 A listed entity should give security holders the option to receive communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.	Yes	The Shareholder Communication Strategy provides that security holders can register with the Company to receive email notifications when an announcement is made by the Company to the ASX, including the release of the Annual Report, half yearly reports and quarterly reports. Links are made available to the Company's website on which all information provided to the ASX is immediately posted.


Recommendations	Comply	Explanation
Principle 7: Recognise and manage risk		
<p>Recommendation 7.1 The board of a listed entity should:</p> <p>(a) have a committee or committees to oversee risk, each of which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and (ii) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose: (iii) the charter of the committee; (iv) the members of the committee; and (v) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or <p>(b) if it does not have a risk committee or committees that satisfy (a) above, disclose that fact and the process it employs for overseeing the entity's risk management framework.</p>	Yes	<p>The Company did not have a separate Risk Committee.</p> <p>Please refer to disclosure in relation to Recommendation 4.1 above.</p>
<p>Recommendation 7.2 The board or a committee of the board should:</p> <p>(a) review the entity's risk management framework with management at least annually to satisfy itself that it continues to be sound, to determine whether there have been any changes in the material business risks the entity faces and to ensure that they remain within the risk appetite set by the board; and</p> <p>(b) disclose in relation to each reporting period, whether such a review has taken place.</p>	Yes	<p>The Audit and Risk Committee Charter requires that the Audit and Risk Committee (or, in its absence, the Board) should, at least annually, satisfy itself that the Company's risk management framework continues to be sound.</p> <p>The Board continues to review the risk profile of the Company and monitors risk throughout the reporting period.</p>
<p>Recommendation 7.3 A listed entity should disclose:</p> <p>(a) if it has an internal audit function, how the function is structured and what role it performs; or</p> <p>(b) if it does not have an internal audit function, that fact and the processes it employs for evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of its risk management and internal control processes.</p>	Yes	<p>The Company does not have an internal audit function. The Audit and Risk Committee Charter provides for the Audit and Risk Committee to monitor the need for an internal audit function.</p> <p>As set out in Recommendation 7.1, the Board is responsible for overseeing the establishment and implementation of effective risk management and internal control systems to manage the Company's material business risks and for reviewing and monitoring the Company's application of those systems.</p> <p>The Board devotes time formally at Board meetings and informally through regular communication to fulfilling the roles and responsibilities associated with overseeing risk and maintaining the entity's risk management framework and associated internal compliance and control procedures.</p>

Recommendations	Comply	Explanation
<p>Recommendation 7.4 A listed entity should disclose whether, and if so how, it has regard to economic, environmental and social sustainability risks and, if it does, how it manages or intends to manage those risks.</p>	Yes	<p>The Audit and Risk Committee Charter requires the Audit and Risk Committee (or, in its absence, the Board) to assist management determine whether the Company has any material exposure to economic, environmental and social sustainability risks and, if it does, how it manages or intends to manage those risks.</p> <p>The Company is currently exposed to minimal environmental and social risks due to its present size and magnitude of operations.</p>
Principle 8: Remunerate fairly and responsibly		
<p>Recommendation 8.1 The board of a listed entity should:</p> <p>(a) have a remuneration committee which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and (ii) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (iii) the charter of the committee; (iv) the members of the committee; and (v) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or <p>(b) if it does not have a remuneration committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for setting the level and composition of remuneration for directors and senior executives and ensuring that such remuneration is appropriate and not excessive.</p>	Yes	<p>The Company does not have a Remuneration Committee. The Company's Corporate Governance Plan contains a Remuneration Committee Charter that provides for the creation of a Remuneration Committee (if it is considered it will benefit the Company), with at least three members, a majority of whom must be independent Directors, and which must be chaired by an independent Director.</p> <p>The Company does not have a Remuneration Committee as the Board considers the Company will not currently benefit from its establishment. In accordance with the Company's Board Charter, the Board carries out the duties that would ordinarily be carried out by the Remuneration Committee under the Remuneration Committee Charter including the following processes to set the level and composition of remuneration for Directors and senior executives and ensuring that such remuneration is appropriate and not excessive:</p> <p>The Board devotes time at Board meetings to assess the level and composition of remuneration for Directors and senior executives as necessary when there are changes to Company, Director or executives' circumstances which indicate the level and/or composition of remuneration may require amendment to achieve consistency with the revised circumstance.</p>
<p>Recommendation 8.2 A listed entity should separately disclose its policies and practices regarding the remuneration of non-executive directors and the remuneration of executive directors and other senior executives and ensure that the different roles and responsibilities of non-executive directors compared to executive directors and other senior executives are reflected in the level and composition of their remuneration.</p>	Yes	<p>The Company's Corporate Governance Plan requires the Board to disclose its policies and practices regarding the remuneration of Directors and senior executives. This information is disclosed in the Company's Remuneration Report within this Annual Report.</p>
<p>Recommendation 8.3 A listed entity which has an equity-based remuneration scheme should:</p> <p>(a) have a policy on whether participants are permitted to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme; and</p> <p>(b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.</p>	N/A	<p>The Company does not have an equity based remuneration scheme</p>

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STATEMENT – APPENDIX 1
BOARD SKILLS MATRIX


The Board has identified that the appropriate mix of skills and diversity required of its members to operate effectively and efficiently is achieved by personnel having substantial skills and experience in the following Industry Skills: Health and Safety; Operations and Technical; Mineral Exploration and Mining Skills; Capital Management; and Commercial Negotiation Skills.

The skills and experience of the Board in each of these areas is summarised as follows:



In addition, directors of the Company are expected to be knowledgeable and experienced in the following areas: Legal; Accounting and finance; Information technology; Corporate governance; Risk and compliance oversight; Director duties and responsibilities; Strategic expertise; Commercial experience; and Executive management.

The skills and experience of the Board in each of these areas is summarised as follows:



Gaps in the collective skills of the Board are considered regularly by the full Board in its capacity as the Nomination and Remuneration Committee.